1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 7 DIANA SAIKI, Case No. 2:22-CV-849-RSM-DWC 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** 9 v. 10 MCG HEALTH LLC, 11 Defendant. 12 13 CYNTHIA STRECKER, Case No. 2:22-cv-862-RSM-DWC 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. 16 MCG HEALTH LLC, 17 Defendant. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 1

1	LEO THORBECKE and MARJORITA DEAN,	Case No. 2:22-cv-870-RSM-DWC
2	Plaintiff,	
3	v.	
4	MCG HEALTH LLC,	
5	Defendant.	
6		
7	LINDA BOOTH, MARY NAPIER, and CANDACE DAUGHERTY,	Case No. 2:22-cv-00879-RSM-DWC
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	
10	MCG HEALTH LLC,	
11	Defendant.	
12	EVA DRESCH,	Case No. 2:22-cv-892-RSM-DWC
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	
15	MCG HEALTH LLC,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	LINDA CRAWFORD and MICHAEL PRICE,	Case No. 2:22-cv-00894-RSM-DWC
19	Plaintiff,	
20	v.	
21	MCG HEALTH LLC,	
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 2

JAY TAYLOR and SHELLEY TAYLOR,	Case No. 2:22-cv-00925-RSM-DWC
Plaintiff,	
v.	
MCG HEALTH LLC,	
Defendant.	
JULIE MACK, JOANNE MULLINS, and INGRID COX,	Case No. 2:22-cv-00935-RSM-DWC
Plaintiff,	
v.	
MCG HEALTH LLC,	
Defendant.	
KENNETH HENSLEY, as legal guardian of R.H.,	Case No. 2:22-cv-00978-RSM-DWC
Plaintiff,	
V.	
MCG HEALTH LLC,	
Defendant.	
The above continued cases are currently a	panding hafara this Court
The above captioned cases are currently pending before this Court.	
On June 22, 2022 Plaintiff's counsel in Saiki v. MCG Health, LLC, No. 2:22-cv-00849-	
DWC (W.D. Wash., filed June 16, 2022) filed a motion to consolidate three of the above	
captioned cases. Dkt. 5. However, since that time several additional cases have been filed.	
Therefore, Saiki's Motion to Consolidate (Dkt. 5) is denied without prejudice.	

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42 provides the Court discretion to consolidate actions that involve a common question of law or fact. The Court's review reveals that Plaintiffs in the above captioned cases have filed virtually identical Complaints against Defendant MCG Health LLC, each alleging similar causes of action predicated on parallel facts.

Under the circumstances, consolidation appears to be in the interest of judicial efficiency and appropriate to promote the fair and just resolution of these disputes. Accordingly, all parties are hereby ORDERED to meet-and-confer in accordance with Local Civil Rule 42(b) and either file a stipulation to consolidate or file a response of no more than five pages (excluding supporting declarations) SHOWING CAUSE why the above captioned cases should not be consolidated (without prejudice to later bifurcation, as appropriate) on or before August 22, 2022. Either way, all parties must employ the above caption and file their stipulation or response in each of the above captioned cases.

At this time the Court will not hear argument regarding appointment of interim lead counsel or any other matters preliminary to a motion for class certification.

The Clerk is directed to file this order in all above captioned cases and to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2022.

David W. Christel

United States Magistrate Judge